

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
... Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,043 10/20/2000		Anders Bjorklund	1,7810-513 (SCI-13)	8502
7	7590 04/01/2002		*	
Ivor R. Elrifi, Esq. MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			BAKER, ANNE MARIE	
One Financial Boston, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011, 1111			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	W

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
. *		09/693,043		BJORKLUND, ANDERS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Anne Baker		1632			
Period 1	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 August 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	his action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🛛	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applica	tion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152) on .			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/693,043

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method for inducing *in vivo* migration of progenitor cells transplanted to the brain, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

II. Claims 7-12, drawn to a method for inducing in vivo proliferation of progenitor cells transplanted to the brain, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to mutually exclusive methods that require different method steps and produce different effects. The methods are mutually exclusive because the method of the invention of Group I requires that the mitogenic growth factor be infused at a second locus of the brain (relative to the locus where the progenitor cells are implanted), whereas the method of the invention of Group II requires that the mitogenic growth factor be infused at or near the locus of the brain where the progenitor cells have been implanted. The specification teaches that the implanted cells migrate toward the locus where the mitogenic growth factor is present. Thus, the method of the invention of Group II would not induce cellular migration, whereas the method of the

Application/Control Number: 09/693,043 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

invention of Group I would. While both methods may induce proliferation, the method of the invention of Group II clearly would not induce cellular migration. The methods of the inventions of Groups I and II are not disclosed as being used together. Thus, the method of the invention of Group I is patentably distinct from the method of the invention of Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because the searches required for the separate inventions are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne-Marie Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-9155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 10:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-8724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

ANNE-MARIE BAKER
PATENT EXAMINER

Anne-marie Bake